

Lista de exercícios 4

Estatística Bayesiana

3.15 Show that every distribution from an exponential family can be generalized into a pseudo-exponential family by adding parametrized constraints on the support of x . Elaborate on the modification in the sufficient statistics.

Família exponencial: $f(x|\theta) = C(\theta) h(x) e^{R(\theta) \cdot T(x)}$, com $\theta \in \Theta$ e $x \in \mathcal{X}$.

Defina $D_\theta = \{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid \|T(x)\| \leq \|R(\theta)\|\}$. Note que

$$\int_{D_\theta} f(x|\theta) d\mu(x) \leq \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x|\theta) d\mu(x) < +\infty.$$

Defina $\tilde{f}(x|\theta) = \begin{cases} f(x|\theta)/K_\theta, & x \in D_\theta \\ 0, & x \notin D_\theta, \end{cases}$

em que $K_\theta = \int_{D_\theta} f(x|\theta) d\mu(x)$. Assim \tilde{f} define uma família pseudo-exponencial. Em particular, definindo

$$g_\theta(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{C(\theta)}{K_\theta} \exp\{R(\theta) \cdot t\}; & \|t\| \leq \|R(\theta)\| \\ 0; & \text{c.c.} \end{cases}$$

temos que $f_\theta(x) = h(x) g_\theta(T(x))$ e, pela fatorização de Fisher-Neyman, T é suficiente para Θ .

3.21 *(Lauritzen (1996)) Consider $X = (x_{ij})$ and $\Sigma = (\sigma_{ij})$ symmetric positive-definite $m \times m$ matrices. The *Wishart distribution*, $\mathcal{W}_m(\alpha, \Sigma)$, is defined by the density

$$p_{\alpha, \Sigma}(X) = \frac{|X|^{\frac{\alpha-(m+1)}{2}} \exp(-\text{tr}(\Sigma^{-1}X)/2)}{\Gamma_m(\alpha) |\Sigma|^{\alpha/2}},$$

with $\text{tr}(A)$ the trace of A and

$$\Gamma_m(\alpha) = 2^{\alpha m/2} \pi^{m(m-1)/4} \prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha-i+1}{2}\right).$$

a. Show that this distribution belongs to an exponential family. Give its natural representation and derive the mean of $\mathcal{W}_m(\alpha, \Sigma)$.

b. Show that, if $z_1, \dots, z_n \sim \mathcal{N}_m(0, \Sigma)$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n z_i z_i' \sim \mathcal{W}_m(n, \Sigma).$$

a) Defina $C(\theta) = [\Gamma_m(\alpha) |\Sigma|^{\alpha/2}]^{-1}$

$$h(x) = |X|^{-\frac{(m+1)}{2}}$$

$$R(\theta) \cdot T(x) = \alpha \cdot \log |X| - \text{tr}(\Sigma^{-1}X)/2 \\ = \alpha \cdot \log |X| - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^m \sigma_{ik}' x_{ki} / 2,$$

com $\sigma_{ik}' = (\Sigma^{-1})_{ik}$. Logo

$$R(\theta) = (2\alpha, -\sigma_{11}', -\sigma_{12}', \dots, -\sigma_{mm}') / 2 \in \mathbb{R}^{m^2+1}$$

$$T(x) = (\log |X|, x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{1m}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m^2+1}$$

mostra que $\mathcal{W}_m(\alpha, \Sigma)$ é da família exponencial.

Defina $M = -\Sigma^{-1}/2$

$$\theta = (\alpha, M_{11}, M_{12}, \dots, M_{mm}).$$

Assim

$$p_{\alpha, M}(x) = \frac{|M|^{\alpha/2}}{(-2)^{m\alpha/2} \Gamma_m(\alpha)} |X|^{-\frac{(m+1)}{2}} \exp\left\{ \alpha \log |X| + \sum_{ij} M_{ij} X_{j,i} \right\},$$

com parâmetro natural $\theta = (\alpha, -\Sigma^{-1}/2)$

$$\psi(\theta) = \ln \left(\Gamma_m(\alpha) |\Sigma|^{\alpha/2} \right) = \ln \Gamma_m(\alpha) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \ln(|\Sigma|)$$

$$= \ln \Gamma_m(\alpha) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \ln |-2M|$$

$$\nabla \Psi(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma'_m(\alpha) \cdot \ln| -2M |, & \cancel{2} \cdot \cancel{| -2M |} \cdot \cancel{(-2M)^{-1}} \cdot \cancel{(-2)} \\ \Gamma_m(\alpha) & \cancel{2} \cdot \cancel{| -2M |} \end{pmatrix}$$

logo $E_\theta [X] = \alpha \Sigma$.

b) ver Gupta e Nagar (1999), página 88. Aqui vai um resumo. Defina $(Z)_{ij} = (z_j)_i$, isto é, $Z = [z_1, \dots, z_n]$. Assim

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i z_i^T = Z Z^T \rightarrow \text{supõe } n \geq m$$

- Escreva $Z = TH$, em que T é triangular inferior com diagonal positiva e $HH^T = I$. Essa escrita é única (Teo. 1.2.15)

- A transformação $X \mapsto (T, H)$ é bijetiva com Jacobiano

$$J = \prod_{i=1}^m t_{ii}^{n-i} g_{n,m}(H).$$

- $\int_{HH^T=I} g_{n,m}(H) dH = \frac{2^m \pi^{\frac{1}{2}nm}}{\Gamma_m(\frac{1}{2}n)}$, logo a distribuição de

T pode ser obtida.

- Por fim $Z Z^T = T H H^T T^T = T T^T$ e a transformação $T \mapsto T T^T$ tem Jacobiano

$$J = (2^m \prod_{i=1}^m t_{ii}^{m-i+1})^{-1}$$

que implica a transformação de $S \sim W(n, \Sigma)$.

c) Já vimos que $E[X | \alpha, \Sigma] = \alpha \Sigma$. Agora $\text{Cov}(X)$ é definida pela Hessiana de Ψ . Como

$$\nabla_M \Psi(\theta) = \alpha (-2M)^{-1},$$

temos que $\nabla_{M,M} \Psi(\theta) = \alpha (-2M)^{-1} \otimes (-2M)^{-1} \cdot (-1)(-2)$
 $= 2\alpha \Sigma \otimes \Sigma$.

3.35 Proposition 3.3.13 exhibits a conjugate family for every exponential family of the form (3.3.4),

$$\pi(\theta|\lambda, \mu) = \exp\{\theta \cdot \mu - \lambda\psi(\theta)\} K(\mu, \lambda).$$

a. Show that the distribution (3.3.4) is actually well defined when $\lambda > 0$ and $(\mu/\lambda) \in \overset{\circ}{N}$.

$$f(x|\theta) = h(x) e^{\theta \cdot x - \psi(\theta)}, \text{ com respeito a } \mu.$$

$$N = \left\{ \theta \in \Theta \mid \int_{\mathcal{X}} e^{\theta \cdot x} h(x) d\mu(x) < +\infty \right\}$$

Como $\int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x|\theta) d\mu(x) = 1$, temos que

$$\psi(\theta) = \ln \int_{\mathcal{X}} e^{\theta \cdot x} h(x) d\mu(x)$$

Em particular, $N = \{ \theta \in \Theta : \psi(\theta) < +\infty \}$. Queremos provar que

$$\int_N e^{\theta \cdot \mu - \lambda\psi(\theta)} d\theta < +\infty;$$

assumindo que $\lambda > 0$ e $(\mu/\lambda) \in \overset{\circ}{N}$. Tome $\theta \in N$. Seja $A \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ compacto com $\mu(A) > 0$. Denote $\mu_A(\cdot) = \mu(A \cap \cdot) / \mu(A)$.

Dado $\theta \in N$, seja $c = \min_A e^{\theta \cdot x} > 0$, pois A é compacto. Assim $\mu(A) = \int_A d\mu(x) \leq \frac{1}{c} \int_A e^{x \cdot \theta} d\mu(x) \leq \frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathcal{X}} e^{x \cdot \theta} d\mu(x) < +\infty$.

Com isso,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\psi(\theta)} &= \left[\int_{\mathcal{X}} e^{\theta \cdot x} h(x) d\mu(x) \right]^{-1} \leq \left[\int_A e^{\theta \cdot x + \ln(h(x))} d\mu(x) \right]^{-1} \\ &= \mu(A)^{-1} \left[\int_{\mathcal{X}} e^{\theta \cdot x + \ln(h(x))} d\mu_A(x) \right]^{-1} \\ &\leq \mu(A)^{-1} \exp \left\{ -\theta \int_{\mathcal{X}} x d\mu_A(x) - \int_{\mathcal{X}} \ln(h(x)) d\mu_A(x) \right\} \\ &= \mu(A)^{-1} \exp \left\{ -\theta y_A - c_A \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Suponha Θ convexo. É fácil ver que \mathcal{N} também será. Logo $\mu/\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} t_j x_j$ para $\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} t_j = 1$ e $t_j \geq 0$. Como está no interior, podemos assumir que $t_j > 0$. Em particular, existe A_j compacto tal que $x_j = \int x d\mu_{A_j}(x) \rightarrow$ pode-se provar

Seja $N_k = \mathcal{N} \cap \{\theta \mid \theta \cdot x_k = \max_j \theta \cdot x_j\}$. Assim:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{N}} e^{\theta \cdot \mu - \lambda \psi(\theta)} d\theta &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{k+1} \int_{N_k} e^{\theta \cdot \mu - \lambda \psi(\theta)} d\theta \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{k+1} \frac{e^{-\lambda c_{A_k}}}{\mu(A_k)} \int_{\Theta_k} e^{\lambda \theta \cdot (\frac{\mu}{\lambda} - y_{A_k}^{x_k})} d\theta \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{k+1} \int_{\Theta_k} \exp\left\{\lambda \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} t_j \underbrace{\theta \cdot (x_j - x_k)}_{\leq 0 \text{ em } \Theta_k}\right\} d\theta \\ &< +\infty \end{aligned}$$

b. Give the constant K for normal, gamma, and negative binomial distributions.

b) Contas. Primeiro encontramos a representação natural da distribuição. Então calculamos

$$\left[\int_{\Theta} \exp\{\theta \cdot \mu - \lambda \psi(\theta)\} d\theta \right]^{-1}$$

c. Deduce that the likelihood function $\ell(\theta|x)$ is a particular prior distribution for exponential families (by mean of a reparameterization) and give the corresponding prior for the above families.

$$\ell(\theta|x) \propto \exp\{\theta \cdot x - \psi(\theta)\}$$

Quando $\lambda = 1$ e $\mu = x$, se $x \in \mathcal{N}$, vale (a)

d. Is this property characterizing exponential families? Give a counter-example.

$$\text{Seja } f(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{2\theta} \mathbb{1}[x \in [-\theta, \theta]].$$

Uma conjugada nesse caso é Pareto(α, λ),

$$\pi(\theta|\alpha, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha \lambda^\alpha}{\theta^{\alpha+1}}, & \theta \geq \lambda \\ 0, & \theta < \lambda \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Logo } \pi(\theta|x, \alpha, x_m) \propto \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha \lambda^\alpha}{2\theta^{\alpha+2}}, & \theta \geq \max\{|x|, \lambda\} \\ 0, & \text{c.c.} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Logo } \theta|x \sim \text{Pareto}(\alpha+1, \max\{|x|, \lambda\})$$

Note que a verossimilhança é Pareto($0, |x|$) que é distribuição imprópria.