

Lista de exercícios 6

Estatística Bayesiana

4.5 Show that a setting opposite to Example 4.1.2 may happen, namely, a case when the prior information is negligible. (Hint: Consider $\pi(\theta)$ to be $\mathcal{C}(\mu, 1)$ and $f(x|\theta) \propto \exp -|x - \theta|$, and show that the MAP estimator does not depend on μ .)

No exemplo 4.1.2, verificamos que o MAP de θ é sempre 0 quando $x \sim \mathcal{C}(\theta, 1)$ e $\pi(\theta) \propto e^{-|\theta|}$, isto é, x é não importante, nesse caso.

Agora considere a dica. Queremos maximizar em θ

$$f(x|\theta)\pi(\theta) \propto \frac{e^{-|x-\theta|}}{1+(\theta-\mu)^2}$$

Quando $\theta < x$, o ponto crítico é $\theta = \mu + 1$. Já quando $\theta > x$, o ponto crítico é $\theta = \mu - 1$. Além do mais,

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow +\infty} f(x|\theta)\pi(\theta) = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow -\infty} f(x|\theta)\pi(\theta) = 0,$$

portanto existe um compacto $[-M, M]$ de forma que se $|\theta| > M$, então $f(x|\theta)\pi(\theta) < e^{-|x|}/(1+\mu^2)$. Pelo Teorema de Weierstrass, sabemos que existe máximo global em $[-M, M]$. Logo, ele pode ser $\theta = x$, $\theta = \mu + 1$ ou $\theta = \mu - 1$, bastando verificar

$$\frac{1}{1+(x-\mu)^2}, \quad \frac{e^{-|x-\mu-1|}}{2}, \quad \frac{e^{-|x-\mu+1|}}{2}.$$

Defina $z = x - \mu$. Note que $2e^{|z \pm 1|} \geq 1 + z^2$.

é resultado da expansão de Taylor. Isso mostra que o MAP é $\hat{\theta}_{\text{MAP}} = x$.

4.17 Consider $x \sim \mathcal{B}(n, p)$ and $p \sim \mathcal{Be}(\alpha, \beta)$.

- Derive the posterior and marginal distributions. Deduce the Bayes estimator under quadratic loss.
- If the prior distribution is $\pi(p) = [p(1-p)]^{-1} \mathbb{I}_{(0,1)}(p)$, give the generalized Bayes estimator of p (when it is defined).
- Under what condition on (α, β) is δ^π unbiased? Is there a contradiction with Exercise 4.16?
- Give the Bayes estimator of p under the loss

$$L(p, \delta) = \frac{(\delta - p)^2}{p(1-p)}.$$

a) Como Beta forma uma família conjugada para a binomial, $p|x \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha+x, \beta+n-x)$. A distribuição marginal de x é

$$\begin{aligned} m(x) &= \frac{\binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x} \frac{1}{B(\alpha, \beta)} p^{\alpha-1} (1-p)^{\beta-1}}{B(\alpha+x, \beta+n-x) p^{\alpha+x-1} (1-p)^{\beta+n-x-1}} \\ &= \binom{n}{x} \frac{B(\alpha+x, \beta+n-x)}{B(\alpha, \beta)} \end{aligned}$$

O estimador de Bayes para θ é $\delta^\pi(x) = \frac{\alpha+x}{\alpha+\beta+n}$.

b) Nesse caso, teremos $p \sim \text{Beta}(0, 0)$ e, portanto, o estimador de Bayes é $\delta^\pi(x) = x/n$, mas ele só é definido quando $x \neq 0, n$. Caso contrário, a posteriori é imprópria.

c) $E_p \left[\frac{\alpha+x}{\alpha+\beta+n} \right] = \frac{\alpha+np}{\alpha+\beta+n}$. Temos que

$$\frac{\alpha+np}{\alpha+\beta+n} = p \Leftrightarrow \alpha = p(\alpha+\beta).$$

Isso só vale se $\alpha = \beta = 0$. Nesse caso, a priori é imprópria, o que não contradiz 4.16.

d) Queremos $\min_{\delta} E(\pi, \delta | x)$, em que

$$E(\pi, \delta | x) = \int_0^1 \frac{(\delta - p)^2 \cdot p^{\alpha+x-1} (1-p)^{\beta+n-x-1}}{p(1-p) B(\alpha+x, \beta+n-x)} dp$$
$$= \frac{B(\alpha+x-1, \beta+n-x-1)}{B(\alpha+x, \beta+n-x)} \int_0^1 \frac{(\delta - p)^2 p^{\alpha+x-2} (1-p)^{\beta+n-x-2}}{B(\alpha+x-1, \beta+n-x-1)} dp$$

Assim basta minimizar δ sob perda quadrática e a posteriori $p | x \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha+x-1, \beta+n-x-1)$ e teremos

$$\delta^{\pi}(x) = \frac{\alpha+x-1}{\alpha+\beta+n-2}$$

4.40 (Jeffreys (1961)) Consider x_1, \dots, x_{n_1} i.i.d. $\mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2)$. Let \bar{x}_1, s_1^2 be the associated statistics. For a second sample of observations, give the predictive distribution of (\bar{x}_2, s_2^2) under the noninformative distribution $\pi(\theta, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma}$. If $s_2^2 = s_1^2/y$ and $y = e^z$, deduce that z follows a Fisher's F distribution.

Sabemos que \bar{x}_1 e s_1^2 são independentes, condicionado em θ e σ^2 , e $\bar{x}_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2/n_1)$, $(n_1-1)s_1^2/\sigma^2 \sim \text{Gamma}(\frac{n_1-1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$. Em particular, $s_1^2 \sim \text{Gamma}(\frac{n_1-1}{2}, \frac{n_1-1}{2\sigma^2})$. Agora vamos calcular a posteriori:

$$p(\theta, \sigma^2 | x) \propto \frac{1}{\sigma^n} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2\right\} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ = \frac{1}{\sigma} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{n/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{(n-1)s_1^2 + n(\bar{x} - \theta)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}$$

Em particular $\theta, \sigma^2 | x \sim \mathcal{N}-\Gamma^{-1}(\bar{x}, n, \frac{n}{2} - 1, \frac{n-1}{2} s_1^2)$

Para calcular $(\bar{x}_2, s_2^2) | (\bar{x}_1, s_1^2)$, precisamos fazer

$$g^\pi(\bar{x}_2, s_2^2 | \bar{x}_1, s_1^2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \mathcal{N}(\theta, \frac{\sigma^2}{n_2}) \cdot \mathcal{G}(\frac{n_2-1}{2}, \frac{n_2-1}{2\sigma^2}) \cdot \mathcal{N}-\Gamma^{-1}(\bar{x}_1, n_1, \frac{n_1}{2} - 1, \frac{n_1-1}{2} s_1^2) d\sigma^2 d\theta$$

Como $(n_1-1)s_1^2/\sigma^2 \sim \chi_{n_1-1}^2$ e $(n_2-1)s_2^2/\sigma^2 \sim \chi_{n_2-1}^2$, $\frac{s_1^2}{s_2^2} = \frac{n_1-1}{n_2-1} \frac{s_1^2/(n_1-1)}{s_2^2/(n_2-1)} \sim F(n_1-1, n_2-1)$,

isto é, $y \sim F(n_1-1, n_2-1)$.

4.44 *For a normal model $\mathcal{N}_k(X\beta, \Sigma)$ where the covariance matrix Σ is totally unknown, give the noninformative Jeffreys prior.

- Show that the posterior distribution of Σ conditional upon β is a Wishart distribution and deduce that there is no proper marginal posterior distribution on β when the number of observations is smaller than k .
- Explain why it is not possible to derive a conjugate distribution in this setting. Consider the particular case when Σ has a Wishart distribution.
- What is the fundamental difference in this model which prevents what was possible in Section 4.4.2?

$$f(y | \beta, \Sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^k |\Sigma|}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} (y - X\beta)^T \Sigma^{-1} (y - X\beta)\right\}$$

$$\text{Seja } l(\beta, \Sigma | y, X) = \log f(y | \beta, \Sigma, X).$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \underbrace{(y - X\beta)^T \Sigma^{-1} (y - X\beta)}_{\text{tr}((y - X\beta)(y - X\beta)^T \Sigma^{-1})} - \frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma|$$

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \beta} = X^T \Sigma^{-1} (y - X\beta)$$

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \Sigma} = -(y - X\beta)(y - X\beta)^T \Sigma^{-2} - \Sigma^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} (\Sigma^{-1} \circ I)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \beta^2} = -X^T \Sigma^{-1} X \Rightarrow I(\beta) = X^T \Sigma^{-1} X$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \Sigma^2} =$$